Abdominal Dystocia: A Case Report of a Fetal Abdominal Distention Leading to Labor Dystocia in Second Stage
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Abstract

Many fetal diagnoses can result in fetal abdominal distention seen on prenatal ultrasound. Historically, abdominal decompression during the second stage of labor could allow for a vaginal delivery. Reports of abdominal dystocia are rare, leading to difficulty in predicting the success of a vaginal delivery. We present a 32-year-old Gravida 5 Para 3 at 36 2/7 weeks gestation who presented with preterm prelabor rupture of membranes (PPROM). The fetus had known bowel dilation and abdominal distention on prenatal ultrasonography. She was followed at our tertiary care center due to the abnormal fetal ultrasound. A multidisciplinary consult with pediatric surgery and maternal fetal medicine described no contraindications to a vaginal delivery. Once admitted for PPROM, induction of labor was recommended, but delivery was complicated by abdominal dystocia. This was relieved by emergent abdominal rescue using the Zavenelli maneuver and classical cesarean section. Clinicians should consider fetal abdominal distention as a possible source of labor dystocia; although anecdotally, this risk is small. We propose potential objective criteria for deciding route of delivery.
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Introduction

Labor dystocia is a known cause of maternal and fetal morbidity. Abdominal dystocia is a rare form of labor dystocia, likely caused by distention of the fetal abdomen. Fetal abdominal distention leading to dystocia may be caused by a multitude of fetal diagnoses including, but not limited to: intestinal obstruction, meconium peritonitis, colonic perforation, megalocystis, polycystic kidneys, and ascites. With a limited amount of literature regarding abdominal labor dystocia specifically, providers may find themselves unprepared.

Case Report

We report a case of a 32-year-old Gravida 5 Para 3 pregnant patient, referred for collaborative care with Maternal Fetal Medicine, Neonatology, and Pediatric Surgery due to an abnormal prenatal ultrasound with echogenic and dilated fetal bowel. She had adequate prenatal care with an outside facility, including a normal glucose tolerance test. Workup included cystic fibrosis carrier testing and non-invasive prenatal genetic screening which were negative. At 34 weeks 3/7 days, prenatal ultrasound showed estimated fetal abdominal circumference at 37.2 cm (99th percentile) and largest bowel loop diameter dilated to 4.9 cm. [Figure 1] The fetal largest abdominal diameter, when measured retrospectively on prenatal images, was 13.4 cm. The amniotic fluid index was 20.2 cm. All teams agreed that, in this case, the abdomen should be considered compressible and not a contraindication to vaginal delivery.

At 36 weeks and 1/7 day, the patient presented to labor and delivery with preterm prelabor rupture of membranes (PPROM). The admission ultrasound was notable for fetal abdominal circumference of 46 cm and 14.0 cm at largest diameter, with stable bowel loops dilated to 4.8 cm. The patient had 3 prior vaginal deliveries, with the largest infant weighing 3.572 kg, the estimated fetal weight on admission was 3.8 kg. The patient was counseled on the fetus’ abdominal distention and the consulting services recommended labor, as the abdomen was considered compressible. The patient agreed to a vaginal delivery.

The patient’s labor progressed appropriately with oxytocin induction. She progressed to complete cervical dilation within 8 hours from admission. During the second stage of labor, the fetal head was delivered with a single maternal expulsive effort, but the remaining body did not deliver. Initially, a shoulder dystocia was presumed, but the fetal shoulders freely rotated without evidence of impacted shoulder. Episiotomy was performed to allow adequate room for examination. The pos-
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Delivered abdominally. The first reported use of the Zavanelli maneuver for non-compressible abdominal dystocia was in 1994. The fetus had known gastrochisis, hydramnios, meconium peritonitis, and ascites [5]. The only vaginal delivery was a recent case report of abdominal dystocia due to fetal autosomal recessive renal polycystic kidney disease that was resolved with continued expulsive efforts and gentle fetal traction [4].

We propose the use of objective data to influence decisions about mode of delivery in patients at risk for abdominal dystocia. Abdominal dystocia is a known complication of fetal conditions with increased abdominal circumference, as the maternal bony pelvis has no laxity for fetal passage. Using available objective criteria, we recommend a cesarean delivery in cases of suspected meconium peritonitis on prenatal ultrasound accompanied by an accelerated abdominal circumference greater than the 99th percentile and an abdominal diameter larger than the fetal pelvic inlet diameter. According to a study of pelvic dimensions performed on CT/MRI scans of women with prior successful and uncomplicated vaginal births, the average maternal pelvic inlet transverse diameter measures 13.6cm +/- 0.7cm [6]. Extrapolating this information, fetuses with abdominal diameter greater than 14.3cm may be at greatest risk for abdominal dystocia, even if born to a woman with prior successful vaginal birth. Direct measurement of the pelvic inlet transverse diameter using imaging modalities prior to delivery may also be considered when considering a trial of labor in the patient with fetal abdominal distention. Clinical pelvimetry may also be useful, but lacks precision.

In conclusion, fetal abdominal dystocia is a rare event. In our case, the patient had a predictable, but unexpected outcome. We propose that objective data be used to decide mode of delivery in the setting of fetal abdominal distention, including clinical or imaging modalities to ascertain the maternal pelvis in conjunction with fetal biometry.
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